

PGPR Siderophore and Its Role in Antimicrobial Activity in Plants – A Review

T Srinivasan^{*}, A Ganesh Kumar and P Sankar Ganesh

^{*}PG and Research Department of Microbiology, Hindustan College of Arts and Science, Kelambakkam, Chennai, India.

Received April 30, 2018; Accepted June 30, 2018; Published March 25, 2019

ABSTRACT

Much plant growth promoting rhizobacteria has having capability of producing siderophore. The name siderophore which is derived from iron chelating agent which prevents the entry of plant pathogens into the root system of plants and other parts of plant. Among this the symbiotic nitrogen fixing has having more efficiency of producing siderophore which induces both plant growth promotion and Inducing systemic resistance in plants. A versatile Plant growth rhizobacter (PGPR) has been described which grows on yeast extract mannitol agar. A phytohormone, siderophore has been extracted and estimated from the culture medium and appears to produce the zone of inhibition against the fungal pathogens like *Aspergillus* and *Sclerotium*.

INTRODUCTION

Iron is the fourth most abundant element in the earth's crust and the second most common metal following oxygen, silicon and aluminum respectively. Despite its relative abundance and metabolic value to most organisms, it can be a difficult nutrient to obtain. This is because when it is found in aerobic conditions and at neutral or physiologic pH, iron is oxidized to its ferric state and easily forms insoluble oxyhydroxides and other complexes that render it unavailable for metabolic use.

Microbial iron containing or iron binding compounds, most of which are classified as "Siderophores" (Greek for Iron bearers). The siderophores, as chemical entities, display considerable structural variation, the majority of them are either hydroxamates or phenolates – catecholates and all exhibited a very strong affinity for Fe (III), the formation constant lying in the range of 1030 or higher [1]. Neiland [2] reviewed the iron metabolism of microorganisms in detail. Bacterial and fungal mechanisms of iron have been discussed extensively by Lankford [3] and Emergy [4].

To overcome iron starvation, *B. japonicum* can utilize its own siderophores and those produced by other organisms [5] In assays using an iron-inefficient variety of peanuts, Jadhav et al. [6] found that the catechol siderophore of a peanut *Rhizobium* isolate, increased plant growth and chlorophyll content compared with plants grown with iron alone.

Siderophores are produced by PGPR under iron-limited conditions. Leeman et al. [7] reported that LPS of *P. fluorescens* strain WCS 374 and WCS 417 are the major determinants of ISR under iron-deplete conditions but under

iron-limited conditions. Induction of ISR by LPS and siderophore seems to be complementary rather than additive and full induction of resistance by one determinant masks contribution by others.

PRODUCTION OF SIDEROPHORE

Siderophore production has been reported in various species of root nodulating bacteria such in fast growing *Rhizobium* spp. [8-10] and *Bradyrhizobium* [11].

Like other PGPR, different strains of rhizobia, i.e., *R. meliloti* [10], *S. meliloti*, *R. leguminosarum* bv. *viciae*, *R. leguminosarum* bv. *trifoli*, *leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli*, *R. tropici* [8,12]; *Rhizobium* sp. [13] and *Bradyrhizobium* [5,6,13,14]; are able to produce siderophore for Fe³⁺ chelation in iron deficient environment [10,15-17].

In vitro, some strains of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* species have been shown, by application of variety of assays, to produce and excrete a variety of iron-cheating compounds when grown under iron-deficient conditions [15,16].

Azotobacter vinelandii produced siderophore under iron

Corresponding author: T Srinivasan, PG and Research Department of Microbiology, Hindustan College of Arts and Science, Kelambakkam, Chennai, 603103, India, E-mail: sriniv86@gmail.com

Citation: Srinivasan T, Kumar AG & Ganesh PS. (2019) PGPR Siderophore and Its Role in Antimicrobial Activity in Plants – A Review. J Agric Forest Meteorol Res, 2(2): 73-76.

Copyright: ©2019 Srinivasan T, Kumar AG & Ganesh PS. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

limiting conditions [18], the bound molybdate [19] and to be a part of iron transport systems. Reeves et al. [20] observed that increase in iron concentration increased nitrogenase activity of *Azospirillum*. Molybdenum starved cells reported to have reduced ex planta nitrogenase activity of *Azospirillum lipoferum* D-2 [21].

Saxena et al. [21] studied the siderophore mediated transport of molybdenum in *Azospirillum lipoferum* strain D-2. They reported that a catechol-type compound was secreted by *A. lipoferum* D-2 strain in the growth medium when the cells became molybdenum limited. The compound was identified as 3, 5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (3, 5-DHBA) which enhanced the uptake of molybdenum.

Siderophore synthesis was repressed when iron was present and induced when iron depleted from the culture medium [22]. The phenolate siderophores were mainly the amino acid conjugates of 2, 3-DHBA [23] and their presence was demonstrated in *Aerobacter aerogenes*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* sp. [23,24]. A threonine conjugate of 2, 3-dihydroxybenzoic acid in *Klebsiella oxytoga* and *E. coli* [25], a diphenolic conjugate of lysine in *Azotobacter vinelandii* [18] and threonine and glycine conjugates of 2, 3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid in cowpea *Rhizobium* RA-1 [26] have been reported.

CLASSES OF SIDEROPHORES

Siderophores are separated into classes based upon the chemical groups involved in iron chelation within the siderophore. The two most common classes of Siderophores are hydroxymates and phenolate-catecholates. However, several siderophores use multiple functional groups to chelate the iron and are considered mixed siderophores [27].

Hydroxymate siderophores

The hydroxymate siderophores are seen predominantly in fungi but are also produced by some bacteria. The iron chelation is provided by a hydroxymate group (-CO-N(O-)-) formed from acetylated or formylated hydroxylamines usually derived from lysine or ornithine [27]. The hydroxymate group is assembled in a two-step process, beginning with hydroxylation of the primary side-chain amine of ornithine or lysine by a flavin adenosine dinucleotide-dependent monooxygenase. The second step involves formylation by a methyl transferase, for pyoverdine and ornibactin or acetylation by an acetylase, for all other hydroxymate siderophores [27].

Phenol-catecholate siderophores

The second most common siderophore class is the phenol-catecholates, which contain a mono- or di-hydroxybenzoic acid group to chelate the iron [1]. This class of siderophores has only been observed in bacteria. The catecholate group is derived from salicylate or dihydroxybenzoic acid and the siderophores have iron binding affinities that range from very tight binding for enterobactin from *E. coli* ($K_d=10^{-52}$

M) to fairly weak binding seen in pyochelin from *P. aeruginosa* ($K_d=5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) [1].

Other classes of siderophores

Several other classes of siderophores are recognized. Citrate-hydroxymate siderophores are a mixed class of bacterial siderophores [1]. The siderophores contain derivatives of citric acid in which the distal carboxyl group has been substituted with hydroxymate groups. Another unusual class of siderophores is the mycobactins produced by *Mycobacterium* spp. of bacteria. A variety of other classes of siderophores are also known which contain various hydroxymate, catecholate and phenolate groups [1].

Crowley et al. [28] suggested that siderophores produced by root-colonizing microbes provide Fe to plants that can use the predominant siderophore types. In conjunction with transport mechanisms, ecological and chemical factors can also influence the efficacy of siderophores and phytosiderophores. They presented a model to incorporate these factors to predict conditions that may govern competition for Fe in the plant rhizosphere and observed that such competition has been a factor in the evolution of broad transport capabilities for different siderophores by microorganisms and plants. Mahmoud and Abd-Allah [29] isolated eighty four microbial isolates and tested their ability to produce siderophore and reported that among them 42 isolates exhibited positive reaction. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* showed strongly positive reaction while *Aspergillus* was found to produce moderate reactions with hydroxamate assay.

Stenico et al. [30] evaluated the ability of endophytic *Methylobacterium extorquens* for siderophore production. The culture supernatants for *Methylobacterium* showed positive for the same and secreted hydroxamate-type of siderophores.

Simionato et al. [31] analysed the siderophore production from different strains of *Methylobacterium* spp. using capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry and IT mass analyzer and the analysis revealed two possible siderophore productions of Mol. wt. of 1004.3 and 798.3 Da, according to bacterial species.

Joshi et al. [32] isolated different strains of bacteria from the rhizosphere of *Arachis hypogea* (groundnut) and *Vigna radiata* (Mung bean), in which few fluorescent pseudomonads produced hydroxamates in addition to catecholates.

Lacava et al. [33] analyzed the production of siderophore production of endophytic *Methylobacterium* spp. and observed that all the strains of *Methylobacterium* spp. showed positive results for CAS assay and found to produce hydroxamate-type, but not catechol-type siderophores.

Lacava et al. [34] studied the production of siderophores by endophytic *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* and revealed

that 37 strains of the same showed positive for CAS assay, produced hydroxamate-type of siderophores.

BIO CONTROL ACTIVITY OF SIDEROPHORE

Competition for nutrients among the biocontrol bacteria and pathogen can result in the displacement of pathogen. The best understood example of the competition is the iron competition. In this, the biocontrol agent produces high Fe^{3+} affinity siderophore that sequester iron in the rhizosphere and makes it less available to certain harmful rhizospheric microorganism. The latter cannot obtain sufficient iron for growth and thus are outcompeted. Rhizobia are proficient to produce siderophores and can hamper a widely occurring plant pathogen *Macrophomina phaseolina* [10].

According to van Loon et al. [35], rhizobacterially induced salicylic acid can trigger the SAR pathway as well as ISR in some plant species. In radish, induction of systemic resistance to Fusarium wilt by two *P. fluorescens* strains WCS 374 and WCS 417 was clearly associated with the capacity of these strains to produce salicylic acid in culture [7]. The PGPR mediated ISR is often associated with the onset of defense mechanism including the increased expression of defense enzymes, such as peroxidase [36].

Stephens et al. [37] reported the ability of a bacterium to inhibit a fungal pathogen when the bacterium was grown in the laboratory on synthetic media that favored the production of either antibiotic or siderophore and determined the biocontrol activity of the bacterium *in vivo*.

The role of PPFM siderophore on disease suppression of *Fusarium* sp. and *Erwinia amylovora* was studied. Siderophore production was associated with *in vitro* inhibition of *Aphanomyces cochlioides* by strains of PPFM, but did not correlate with the ability of bacterium to suppress *Aphanomyces* root rot of sugar beet [38,39].

REFERENCES

1. Neilands JB (1981) Microbial iron compounds. Annu Rev Biochem 50: 715-731.
2. Neilands JB (1974) Microbial iron metabolism. (Ed) Neilands JB. Academic Press, New York and London, p: 597.
3. Lankford C (1973) Bacterial assimilation of iron. CRC Crit Rev Microbiol 2: 273-331.
4. Emergy T (1971) Role of ferrichrome in *Ustilago spheerogena*. Biochemistry 10: 1483-1488.
5. Plessner O, Klapatch T, Guerinot ML (1993) Siderophore utilization by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 59: 1688-1690.
6. Jadhav RS, Thaker NV, Desai A (1994) Involvement of the siderophores of cowpea Rhizobium in the iron nutrition of the peanut. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 10: 360-361.
7. Leeman M, van Pelt JA, Den Ouden FM, Heinsbroek M, Bakker PAHM, et al. (1996) Iron availability affects induction of systemic resistance to Fusarium wilt of radish by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Phytopathol 86: 149-155.
8. Carson KC, Meyer JM, Dilworth MJ (2000) Hydroxamate siderophore of root nodule bacteria. Soil Biol Biochem 32: 11-21.
9. Arora NK, Kumar V, Maheshwari DK (2000) Isolation of both fast and slow growing rhizobia effectively nodulating a medicinal legume, *Mucuna pruriens*. Symbiosis 29: 121-137.
10. Arora NK, Kang SC, Maheshwari DK (2001) Isolation of siderophore producing strains of *Rhizobium meliloti* and their biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* that causes charcoal rot of groundnut. Curr Sci 81: 673-677.
11. Deshwal VK, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK (2003) Isolation of plant growth promoting strains of *Bradyrhizobium arachis* sp. with biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing charcoal rot of peanut. Curr Sci 84: 443-448.
12. Chabot R, Antoun H, Cescas MP (1993) Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate solubilizing *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv *phaseoli*. Plant Soil 184: 311-321.
13. Antoun H, Beauchamp CJ, Goussard N, Chabot R, Lalande R (1998) Potential of Rhizobium and Bradyrhizobium species as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on non-legumes: Effect on radishes (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Plant Soil 4: 57-68.
14. Dudeja SS, Suneja S, Khurana AL (1997) Iron acquisition system and its role in legume Rhizobium symbiosis. Indian J Microbiol 37: 1-12.
15. Guerinot ML (1991) Iron uptake and metabolism in the rhizobia/legume symbiosis. Plant Soil 130: 199-209.
16. Carson KC, Holliday S, Glenn AR, Dilworth MJ (1992) Siderophore and organic acid production in root nodule bacteria. Arch Microbiol 157: 264-271.
17. Reigh G, O'Connell M (1993) Siderophore iron transport correlates with the presence of specific iron-regulated proteins in the outer membrane of *Rhizobium meliloti*. J Bacteriol 175: 94-102.
18. Corbin JL, Bulen WA (1969) The isolation and identification of 2, 3-dihydroxybenzoic zoyl-L-lysine formed by iron deficient *Azotobacter vinelandii*. Biochemistry 8: 757-762.
19. Page WJ, von Tigertorm M (1982) Iron and molybdenum repressible outer membrane proteins in

- competent *Azotobacter vinelandii*. J Bacteriol 151: 237-242.
20. Reeves MW, Pine L, Neilands JB, Ballows A (1983) Absence of siderophore activity in *Legionella* sp. grown in iron deficient media. J Bacteriol 154: 324-329.
 21. Saxena B, Vithlani L, Modi VV (1989) Siderophore-mediated transport of molybdenum in *Azospirillum lipoferum* strain D-2. Curr Microbiol 19: 291-295.
 22. Knosp O, von Tigerstorm M, Page WJ (1984) Siderophore mediated uptake of iron in *Azotobacter vinelandii*. J Bacteriol 134: 1020-1029.
 23. O' Brien IG, Gibson T (1970) The structure of enterochelin and related 2, 3-dihydroxy N-benzoylserine conjugates from *Escherichia coli*. Biochim Biophys Acta 215: 343-402.
 24. Pollack JR, Neilands JB (1970) Enterochelin and iron transport compound from *Salmonella typhimurium*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 38: 989-992.
 25. Korth H (1970) 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid and its amino acid derivatives in the culture medium of *Klebsiella oxytoga*. Arch Microbiol 70: 297-302.
 26. Modi M, Shati KS, Modi VV (1985) Isolation and characterization of catetol like Siderophore from *Ceropea rhizobium* RA-1. Arch Microbiol 141: 156-158.
 27. Miethke M, Marahiel MA (2007) Siderophore-based iron acquisition and pathogen control. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71: 413-451.
 28. Crowley DE, Wang YC, Reid CPP, Szaniszlo PJ (1991) Mechanisms of Iron acquisition from siderophores by microorganisms and plants. Plants and Soil 130: 179-198.
 29. Mahmoud ALE, Abd-Alla MH (2001) Siderophores production by some microorganisms and their effect on Bradyrhizobium-Mung Bean symbiosis. Int J Agric Biol 3: 157-162.
 30. Stenico MES, Pacheco FTH, Rodrigues JLM, Carrilho E, Tsai SM (2005) Growth and siderophore production of *Xylella fastidiosa* under iron-limited conditions. Microbiol Res 160: 429-436.
 31. Simionato AVC, Simo C, Cifuentes A, Lacava PT, Araujo WL, et al. (2005) Capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry of citrus endophytic bacteria siderophores. Electrophoresis 27: 2567-2574.
 32. Joshi FR, Kholiya SP, Archana G, Desai AJ (2006) Siderophore cross-utilization amongst nodule isolates of the cowpea miscellany group and its effect on plant growth in the presence of antagonistic organisms. Microbiol Res 10: 1016.
 33. Lacava PT, Stenico MES, Pacheco FTH (2006) Detection of siderophores in the Endophytic bacteria *Methylobacterium* spp. associated with *Xylella fastidiosa*. Pesq Agropec Bras 41: 637-642.
 34. Lacava PT, Stenico MES, Araujo WL, Simionato AVC, Carrilho E, et al. (2008) Detection of siderophores in the endophytic bacteria *Methylobacterium* spp. associated with *Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. *pauca*. Pesq Agropec Bras 43: 521-528.
 35. van Loon LC, Bakker PA, Pieterse CM (1998) Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 36: 453-483.
 36. Bergstrom GC, Johnson MC, Kuc J (1982) Effects of local infection of cucumber by *Colletotrichum lagenarium*, *Pseudomonas lachrymans* or tobacco necrosis virus on systemic resistance to cucumber mosaic virus. Phytopathol 72: 922-926.
 37. Stephens PM, Crowley JJ, O'Connell C (1993) Selection of Pseudomonad strains inhibiting *Phythium ultimum* on sugarbeet seeds in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 25: 1283-1288.
 38. Patenoster MP, Burns RG (1996) The biological control of *Aphamomyces coelidiodes* root rot of sugar beet using *Pseudomonas* spp. inoculated into seed pellets. Phytopathol 86: 37.
 39. Guerinot ML, Meidl EJ, Pevansner O (1990) Citrate as a siderophore in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. J Bacteriol 172: 3298-3303.